Ctenophores

native aliens in Norwegian waters

Majaneva Sanna¹, Hosia Aino², Haddock Steven³, Berge Jørgen^{1,4}

¹UIT Arctic University of Norway, Norway ³Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Centre, USA ² University Museum of Bergen, Norway ⁴University Centre in Svalbard, Norway

IMPORTANCE

The role of ctenophores in the Arctic and temperate systems is often ignored, although the few existing studies indicate more important ecological role than expected^[1,2]. At the same time, our taxonomical knowledge of ctenophores remains rudimentary at best.

AIM:

The project aims to:

- Describe and document the biodiversity of ctenophores in Norwegian waters, from the North Sea to the Arctic
- Combine genetic sequences together with careful morphological analysis

The disproportionally fast warming of the Arctic together with massive reduction of sea ice mean that an ice-free Arctic summer is likely to occur within the next few decades^[3]. This, as well as increasing shipping traffic, will pose significant challenges for the organisms. There is strong evidence that southern species are expanding their distribution ranges northwards and increasing in population size, whereas some of the northerly species are declining. Shifts in biodiversity can directly and indirectly change species interactions and ecosystem processes with implications for the entire Arctic ecosystem as well as for ecosystem services.

To clarify the taxonomy as well as understand their diversity and species distributions now and in the future. The accurate species identification is valuable first step towards understanding the role of these organisms in the marine ecosystems and potential changes in species composition.

It will also engage the public audience to collect samples to increase the geographical coverage and, in return, the laymen involved will learn to appreciate completely new aspects of their own environment.





Fig 2. The common sampling sites

Fig 3. an undescribed midwater cydippid ctenophore currently being described

Fig 4. Previously recorded taxa (blue) and new acquaintances (red) in Consensus Bayesian tree for 18S and ITS1 from all sequenced ctenophore species in GenBank including the maximum likelihood bootstrap and Bayesian posterior probability values

METHODS:

Our approach combines:

- Existing and new material (fig. 2)
- In situ photography (Scuba diving, ROV)
- A careful morphological examination

PRELIMINARY AND EXPECTED RESULTS:

The species richness has been underestimated.

- Currently: Beroe cucumis, B. gracilis, Bolinopsis infundibulum, Pleurobrachia pileus, Mertensia ovum, Dryodora glandiformis, Euplokamis crinita and Mnemiopsis



Fig 1.

D.

- Molecular tools (Barcoding, population genetics)
- Citizens' science: engaging public audience into volunteer sample collection

NORWEGIAN BARCODE OF LIFE (NORBOL):

NorBOL is a network of Norwegian biodiversity institutions and individual scientists engaged in DNA barcoding of the fauna and flora of Norway. Its vision is to assemble a comprehensive library of standardized DNA sequences (DNA barcodes) as a reference resource for research and management of biodiversity in Norway.

leidyi

Combination of morphological and molecular species identification methods is crucial.

- New acquaintances (Fig. 4): An undescribed Mertensiid (Arctic), an undescribed midwater cydippid ctenophore (Fig. 3; West-Norwegian fjords, Arctic, North Sea), a possibly undescribed tentaculate ctenophore (deep waters off the Norwegian shelf). In addition, the species identity of the Norwegian *Euplokamis* sp. is questionable

Fig 1. Some of ctenophores in the Norwegian waters: a) Euplokamis sp., b) Undescribed cydippid ctenophore, c) Dryodora glandiformis, d) Beroe abyssicola(?), e) Undescribed Mertensiid , f) Mertensia ovum. Photos: Fig 1a) S. Gotensparre b) N. Aukan, c & f) P. Leopold, d) A. Hosia, e) S. Cochrane, Fig 3a) K. Kosobokova, b, c and drawings) A. Hosia.

References:

¹Purcell et al. 2010: Distribution, abundance, and predation effects of epipelagic ctenophores and jellyfish in the western Arctic Ocean. – DEEP-SEA RES PT II ²Majaneva et al. 2013: Aggregations of predators and prey affect predation impact of the Arctic ctenophore *Mertensia ovum.* – MEPS ³Werner et al. 2016: Arctic in Rapid Transition: Priorities for the future of marine and coastal research in the Arctic. – Polar Science

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Norwegian Biodiversity Information Centre, Onni Talas Foundation, Ymer 80 Foundation for support. **Contact:** Sanna.majaneva@gmail.com; The Arctic University of Norway, 9019 Tromsø, Norway,